

**Joint Annual Report, 2008-2009**

Under Section 12 (6)

Of

**The Jharkhand Lokayukta Act, 2001**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page no.</u>
CHAPTER I Introduction	1-3
CHAPTER II Institution and Disposal of petitions, etc.	3-4
CHAPTER III Structure of Institution of Lokayukta and It's Annual Budget for the period under Report.	4-10
CHAPTER IV Summary of notable cases.	10-18

Respected Rajyapal jee,

I have the honours to present the 3<sup>rd</sup> Joint Annual Report Under Section 12 (6) of Jharkhand Lokayukta Act 2001 which is the third Joint Annual Report submitted by me as Lokayukta Jharkhand on the Performance of my Functions during the period from year 2008-2009 (up to November, 2009)

With high regards.

Yours Sincerely

(Lakshman Uraon)  
Lokayukta, Jharkhand.

To,  
Hon'ble Shri K. Shankarnaraynan  
H.E. the Governor of Jharkhand.  
Ranchi .

**Joint Annual Report, 2008-2009**  
**Of**  
**The Lokayukta Jharkhand under Section 12 (6)**  
**Of**  
**The Jharkhand Lokayukta Act 2001**

**Chapter I**

**Joint Annual Report For – 2008-2009**

I took oath as Lokayukta Jharkhand on 4<sup>th</sup> of December 2004 and this is my 3<sup>rd</sup> Joint annual report to the Hon'ble the Governor of Jharkhand Under Section 12 (6) of the Lokayukta Act 2001.

**Role / function of Lokayukta :-**

(2) It would be appropriate to say a few words about the function / role of the Lokayukta. The public has a right to approach the Lokayukta with whatever complaint it has against public functionaries. Broadly speaking there are two matters that come within the purview or jurisdiction of the Lokayukta. They are:

(A) Grievances against the administration which amount to mal-administration and whose remedy is sought for,

(B) Complaints regarding corruption against public servant as defined in the Act.

It must first be made clear that so far as grievances are concerned the role of the Lokayukta is not adjudicatory but is that of a bridge between the public and the administration. Since most of the grievances of the public as against the administration are removed or remedied through the intervention of the Lokayukta, It does not become necessary for the Lokayukta to give a report to the “competent Authority” Under Section 12 (3) of the Act. In a large number of cases, it is only where the Administrative machineries do not find itself in a position to implement the

suggestion made through correspondence or in a meeting with the officer concerns that it becomes necessary to give a report. Of course so far as allegations are concerned and the same are substantiated to the satisfaction of the Lokayukta, it becomes necessary to submit a report in relation there to for in most cases it become necessary to recommend that some action should be taken against the erring officer.

(3) **Some Special Features :-**

Some broad special feature may be indicated. The first is the absence of too much procedural requirements with the result that at least so far as the grievances are concerned the Lokayukta's machineries can be set at motion even on the basis of a letter. The other special feature is the informalities of investigation and departure from hard and fast rules. It's well known that remedy available through courts (which can be more effective) involves considerable expenses these days. Most of the people who have even genuine grievances are not in a position to take up the help of courts. In this situation if there was no institution like that of Lokayukta the door for removal of grievances for many people would have been barred. Broadly speaking the remedy available through the agency of the Lokayukta is quick and virtually, free of botheration and risk. I however must add coverage the speed with which removal of grievances is possible, is directly proportional, to the co-operation required from those who have either been responsible for the delay or those whose duty is removal of public grievances.

(4) The act requires that allegations should be on affidavit so that no frivolous or irresponsible allegation of corruption is made against a public servant. Those who alleged falsely they are liable to be dealt with suitably in accordance with law. The officers concerned are also ensured a full and fair hearing in respect of allegations

made. Before recommending for any punishment the principals of natural Justice and civil service classification control and appeal rules have to be followed.

(5) The two main areas to Lokayukta's interventions are mal- administrations and allegations against public servants. Mal -administration has been defined in section 2(p) of the Act and allegations under section 2(4)(k) of the Act. Mal administration includes negligence or undue delay in taking appropriate action by the administrative authorities. It also includes such action which is unreasonable, unjust, oppressive or improperly discriminatory similarly allegations include corruption or lack of integrity, abuse of position and acting in furtherance of personal interest or improper, corrupt motive. Public servant v/s 2(4)(k) includes Ministers (other than the chief Minister) Secretaries of Departments and other public functionaries including Head and Deputy head of the local Authority, Corporation, Govt. Company with in meaning of sec. 617 of companion Act 1956 any Society registered under the Societies Registration Act 1860 controlled by state Government or any other Institute or Authority subsidized by the State Government. The Act authorise the State Government to exclude from the purview of the Lokayukta, Such Officers or class of officers that may be specified.

(6) Delay in deposal of cases.

I note that there is lack of response at various levels in the matter of removal of grievance of the citizens.

## **Chapter II**

Receipt issue and disposal of letters / complaints w.e.f. January 2008 to December, 2008 & January, 2009 to November 2009.

(1) During the said period the office of the Lokayukta has received 1445 letters and issued 2113 (January 08 to December'08). Similarly in the year 2009 upto November

office of the Lokayukta has received 1242 letters and issued 2846 letters. There were 451 cases pending in the Lokayukta office on December 2007. During the period From January'2008 to November' 2009 under report 437 complaints / Grievances were received and out of them 354 cases were disposed of. The table noted below would show the details of receipt and disposal of complaint-cases of two categories during the year under reference.

Year	Received Grievances	Received Allegations	Total	Previous total pending cases +received total	Cases Disposal (Grievances)	Cases Disposal (Allegations)	Pending Cases
2008-2009 Till November- 2009.	287	150	437	451+437= 888	259	95	534

### **Chapter III**

#### **Structure of the Institution of Lokayukta, and its Annual Budget.**

##### **Establishment of Lokayukta:-**

There is a separate establishment of the Lokayukta with created posts as under:

S.No	Name of Post	Strength
1	Secretary to Lokayukta (Senior I.A.S./H.J.S)	01
2	Deputy Secretary (Jharkhand Adm. Service)	01
3	Under Secretary (Jharkhand A.S.)	01

4	Section officer	01
5	Senior Personal Assistant	01
6	Personal Assistant	04
7	Assistants	06
8	Bill clerk cum cashier	01
9	Data Entry Operator (contract)	02
10	Routine clerk (other Source)	01
11	Record Career	01
12	Orderlies ( Other Source )	05
13	Night Guard ( Other Source )	01
14	Sweepers ( Other Source )	02
15	Driver ( Contract )	02
16	Bench Clerk	01
17	Orderlies (Jamadar)	02
18	Daftari	01
19	Treasury Sarkar	01
20	Bhangi (Sweeper) (Contract)	01
21	Accountant	01

**Out of these posts the appointment made on some posts in June 2008 are finalised are follows:**

1. Senior Personal Assistant
2. Personal Assistant
3. Assistant (Result awaited)
3. Bill clerk- cum- cashier
4. Data Entry Operator (contract)
5. Routine clerk

And appointment of 4<sup>th</sup> grade employees is under process.



**At present the working strength of the Lokayukta Office**

**are as below:-**

S.No	Name of Post	Strength	Remarks
1	Secretary to Lokayukta (Senior I.A.S./H.J.S)	01	Vacant since January 2009. Several letters have written to the Department of Personnel & Administrative Reforms but till date no action has been taken by Government.
2	Deputy Secretary (J.A.S)	01	Shri Surendra Kumar
3	Under Secretary (Jharkhand A.S.)	01	Vacant
4	Section officer	01	Vacant
5	Senior Personal Assistant	01	Shri Shashiranjana Kumar
6	Personal Assistant	04	1. Shri Sandip Mazumdar 2. Shri Subodh Kumar 3. Miss Alma Suchita Toppo 4. vacant
7	Assistants	06	Vacant
8	Bill clerk-cum-cashier	01	Shri Manoj Kumar
9	Data Entry Operator (contract)	02	1. Mohd. Muslim Ansari 2. Shri Chaitan Singh Munda
10	Routine clerk (other Source)	01	Shri Rajesh Kumar
11	Record Career	01	Work as Temporary Basis
12	Orderlies ( Other Source )	05	Work as Temporary Basis
13	Night Guard ( Other Source )	01	Work as Temporary Basis

14	Sweepers ( Other Source )	02	Work as Temporary Basis
15	Driver ( Contract )	02	Work as Temporary Basis
16	Bench Clerk	01	Work as contract Basis
17	Orderlies (Jamadar)	02	Vacant
18	Daftari	01	Vacant
19	Treasury Sarkar	01	Vacant
20	Bhangi (Sweeper) (Contract)	01	Vacant
21	Accountant	01	Vacant

(02) Besides the above, police officers and Technical officers are required to be deputed for investigation which are still under consideration of the State Government.

(03) A department wise break up of such cases is given below for illustrating the bottle neck in investigation for want of a separate investigating agency.

<b><u>S.No.</u></b>	<b><u>Department</u></b>	<b><u>Grievances</u></b>	<b><u>Allegation</u></b>	<b><u>Total</u></b>
01.	Road Construction	02	03	05
02.	Rural Development	15	07	22
03.	P.H.E.D.	04	05	09
04.	R.E.O.	01	05	06
05.	Excise	01	--	01
06.	Health & Family Planning	32	23	55
07.	Planning Development	--	01	01
08.	Urban development	05	07	12
09.	Labour department	02	03	05
10.	Police department	18	13	31
11.	Electricity department	08	03	11
12.	Co-operative	02	01	03
13.	Law	01	01	02
14.	Industries	10	--	10

15. Personnel and A.Reforms	01	09	10
16. Mines and Geology	03	04	07
17. Finance Commercial Taxes	03	12	15
18. Information and Public Relation	--	01	01
19. Science and Technology	--	01	01
20. Revenue & Land Reforms	54	07	61
21. Transport	--	04	04
22. Water Resources	07	05	12
23. Agriculture & Sugar cane	22	01	23
24. Human Resources	39	07	46
25. Welfare department	05	01	06
26. Animal Husbandry	10	06	16
27. Provident fund Directorate	--	01	01
28. Miscellaneous	01	08	09
29. Food & Supply	01	04	05
30. Forest & Environment	35	06	41
31. Building Construction	03	01	04
32. Home (Jail)	02	--	02

It is expedient that the provision of the Jharkhand Lokayukta Act-2001 should be enforced not only in letters but also in spirit. Section 13 (3) of the Jharkhand Lokayukta Act says that the Lokayukta may, for the purpose of conducting investigation under this Act, utilise the services of

- (i) Any officer or investigation agency of the State Government with the concurrence of the State Government or
- (ii) Any other person or agency. The scope of this sub-section is although broad based but the officers who are not under the direct control of Lokayukta do not co-operate sincerely and as such investigation is delayed.

For smooth running of the Lokayukta office and for quick removal of grievance and speedy disposal of allegations, a proposal was sent to the State Government. The Chief Secretary, Jharkhand has issued direction through letter no. 6/Lok (Est.)-003/05 Ka 856 dt. 16<sup>th</sup> February 2006 to all secretaries/ Head of office in regard to make compliance of the requisition issued by the Lokayukta Jharkhand.

### **Annual Budget of Lokayukta**

1. Separate annual budget have not been made, but allotment for the year 2008-2009 & 2009-2010 has been received from the personal & administrative reforms Dept is noted below.

### **Budgetary figures for the year 2008-2009.**

	<b><u>Allotment</u></b>	<b><u>Expenditure</u></b>
1- Pay of establishment	65, 21,000.00	57,80,773.00
2- Dearness Allowance total	15, 93,315.00	
3- Travelling expenditure	2, 00,000.00	1,58,637.00
4- Office expenditure	5, 50,000.00	5,50,000.00
5- Telephone charges	1, 00,000.00	61,771.00
6- Motor car (fuel & maintainance)	3, 00,000.00	1,50,035.00
7- Wardi	25,000.00	Nil
8- Machineries & Equipment	3, 00,000.00	86,668.00
9- L.T.C.	1, 00, 000.00	Nil
10. Libraries	2,00,000.00	Nil
11. Electric	10,000.00	Nil
12. Wages	1,00,000.00	77,296.00
<b>Total :</b>	<b>99, 99,315.00</b>	<b>68,65,180.00</b>

## **Budgetary figures for the year 2009-2010 .**

	<b><u>Allotment</u></b>	<b><u>Expenditure</u></b>
1- Pay of establishment	92, 64,000.00	26,89,825.00
2- Dearness Allowance total	12, 85,000.00	
3- Travelling expenditure	3, 00,000.00	Nil
4- Office expenditure	8, 00,000.00	4,33,172.00
5- Telephone charges	1, 50,000.00	12,382.00
6- Moter car (fuel & maintainance)	3, 50,000.00	79,316.00
7- Machineries & Equipment	3, 00,000.00	11,125.00
9- L.T.C.	1, 00,000.00	Nil
10. Libraries	3, 00,000.00	Nil
11- Electric	10,000.00	Nil
12-Wardi	1,000.00	Nil
13-Wages	<u>2, 00,000.00</u>	<u>34,978.00</u>
<b>Total :</b>	<b><u>1, 30, 60,000.00</u></b>	<b><u>32,60,798.00</u></b>

### **CHAPTER ---IV**

#### **Summary of some disposed important cases**

##### **02/Lok(forest)1/99-197/05**

Retired Head Clerk Shri Bhagwati Prasad Mishra of Daltenganj filed complaint in the office of the Lokayukata, Patna on 7/4/99 with a prayer to issue him revised pension in the revised scale w.e.f. 1/1/96. He retired from the office of South Rajkiya Baipar Mandal on 31<sup>st</sup> January 1988 in the super time scale. He was allowed pension and PPO no. 151916 dated 31/01/1989 was issued. He prayed revised pension as per recommendation of the Fifth Pay Revision Committee but his pension was not considered then he filed this complaint petition in the office of Lokayukata, Patna which was transferred in this office on 4/01/2005 which was received in this office on 22/6/2005. A report was called for from the sub-Divisional Forest Officer Daltenganj

South Division. DFO, Daltenganj under his letter no. 409 dated 28/07/2005 (page 65) informed this office that the service book of head clerk Shri Bhagwati Prasad Mishra was stolen from his custody. Shri Mishra was noticed several times to reconstruct his service book but he did not help the office. This office was also issued several reminders to the complainant but he did not care to reply even after a lapse of 30 years from his retirement. Consequently it was traced that his service book was preserved in the office of Conservator of Forest, Daltenganj South Division, Garwah. After reconstruction of the duplicate service book, the service book was sent to the Accountant General, Jharkhand and the grievance of the complainant was meted out.

After full satisfaction of the complainant this file was closed and both the parties were informed.

**02/Lok(Agriculture)01/2008**

Complaint Asha Devi, widow of late Raghuwar Ram of Gardanibagh, Patna filed this complaint case along with affidavit in this office on 10<sup>th</sup> May, 2008 praying therein to revise pay w.e.f. 01/01/1996 and for payment of arrears w.e.f. 01/4/1997 till date who retired from Agriculture Production Bazar Samiti, Giridih.

Secretary, Agriculture Production Bazar Samiti, Giridih was noticed who under his letter no. 394 dated 4<sup>th</sup> August 2008 informed that Smt. Asha Devi was informed that bill regarding arrears of payment in the revised scale w.e.f. 01/01/1996 was ready. She was called to receive payment of the arrears from the office on proper identification.

The file was closed on full satisfaction and both the parties were informed.

**02/Lok(Forest)06/2006**

Complaint Rajesh Soren in his complaint case has mentioned that his mother died in the month of March, 2004. She was teacher, her pension paper could not be settled and her GPF amount also could not be paid to her.

A notice was issued to the District Superintendent of Education, Dumka who under his letter no. 833 dated 27/4/2007 informed that pension/gratuity and other dues were fully paid to the applicant under his letter no. 336 dated 14/02/2007 which was sent to Dumka Treasury. On the efforts of this office the petitioner was paid her due pension gratuity and other benefits. On being fully satisfied the file was closed.

**02/Lok(Forest)01/2007**

Complaint Shri Bindeswari Prasad on 18<sup>th</sup> November 2006 filed complaint has alleged that his house is situated in village Kuchhila, P.O.- Chhipadohar, P.S.- Barwadih , District- Latehar surrounded by forests. Since 1978 till date the wild animals & Elephants damaged his crops. He claimed compensation from the Forest Office, but his application was not entertained. He filed this petition claiming damage of crops grown on 21 acres 20 decimals.

A report was called for from the Secretary, Forest and Environmental Deptt., Jharkhand, Ranchi, Deputy Secretary to Government of Jharkhand, Forest and Environmental Deptt. under his letter no. 2613 dated 19/08/2009 informed that the complaint has filed fictitious and false application for compensation. The matter was decided in case no. 24 of 2005 in the court of third bench Lok Adalat, Latehar. He was already paid compensation for the year 2003 to 2008 even then he claimed again for the same damage which was rejected by the Lok Adalat and matter was decided by Lok Adalat. Hence u/s 8 sub-Section 1 (Kha) and Section 10 sub-Section 4(kha) of the Jharkhand Lokayukata Act, 2001 no ground for enquiring is made out . Hence the matter was dropped and informed both the parties.

**02/Lok(Fishrey)01/2006**

Shri Shibu Kachap, peon, Directorate of Fisheries, Ranchi has filed this complaint grievance petition against Director, Fisheries, State of Jharkhand copy of which was given to this office on the basis of which preliminary enquiry proceeding was strated.

Complainant Shri Shibu Kachap has alleged that he has not been given due promotion in the grade-III considering his efficiency ability and roster system of the government to the post of Fisheries Extension Supervisor against the vacant post for departmental candidates.

A preliminary enquiry report was called for from the Director, Fisheries, State of Jharkhand who under his letter no. 1596 dated 17/7/2006 has informed this office that after cadre division of the fisheries department the promotion process to the post of Fisheries Extension Supervisor grade-III of the petitioner from grade-IV has been started. Considering the affirmative reply from the deptt. the file was closed and the complainant was informed accordingly.

**02/Lok(Revenue)185/2005**

Shri Yogender Panday of village and post office Dumri, District- Giridih filed his complaint on 8<sup>th</sup> October 2005 in this office praying therein that his father was traceless since June, 1989. He filed petition in the office of DC, Giridih for appointment on compassionate ground. The DC sought guide lines from the Personal and Administrative Reforms Deptt., Government of Jharkhand. The Government of Jharkhand Personal Deptt. under his letter no. 4958 dated 3<sup>rd</sup> September 2003 directed the DC, Giridih that the father of the petitioner was traceless in course of his active service hence after seven years he be declared dead and the procedure for appointment on compassionate ground be started.

The DC Giridih rejected the petition for appointment on compassionate ground on the ground that it is time barred. A preliminary enquiry was called for from DC, Giridih who under his letter no. 486(Establishment) dated 03/7/2008 informed this office that the Appointment Committee on compassionate ground was held on 23/05/2008 and under departmental letter no. 904(ka) dated 22/01/2008 no appointment on compassionate ground can be made for the dependents of government servant who is traceless in course of his service.



When any person is not known for about seven years or more then he is deemed to have been died. In case of deemed death there is no provision for appointment on compassionate ground. In view of this fact the complaint case of the petitioner could not be entertained and was closed after informing both the parties.

**02/Lok(Health)01/2009**

Smt. Kunti Devi, retired female health worker, Primary Health Centre, Jasidih filed this complaint stating therein that on 31/07/2002 she retired and at present she is living in the State of Bihar at Jamui . While in service she was not given the benefit of first ACP and revised pension by the Medical Officer Incharge, Primary Health Centre, Jesidih, Jharkhand. Hence she filed this complaint case.

A preliminary report was called for from the Medical Officer Incharge, Primary Health Centre, Jesidih, Deoghar, Jharkhand who under his letter no. 335 dated 22/05/2009 has informed this office that on receiving of the letter from this office the matter of Smt. Kunti Devi was taken up immediately and she was given benefit of ACP and arrears were paid on 22/05/2009 photocopy of the acquaintance roll has been enclosed regarding disbursement of ACP benefits and payment of arrears.

On the steps taken by this office the matter was immediately solved and on full satisfaction the file was closed.

**02/Lok(Education)08/2008**

Shri Shiv Prasad Thakur, Deputy Chairman, Samta Party, Sahebganj has filed this complaint alleging against Shri Sashidhar Mandal, ADM, Sahebganj and District Superintendent of Education of Education, Sahebganj Smt. Madhuri Kumari.

The complainant has alleged against Smt. Madhuri Kumari, District Superintendent of Education, Sahebganj which was entrusted for enquiry to Shri Sashidhar Mandal, ADM, Sahebganj but the said officer did not submit his enquiry report. Hence he alleged that Shri Mandal after taking heavy amount has protected

Smt. Madhuri Kumari. So he prayed to take action against both the government servant and dismiss them from service on the charges of corruption.

A preliminary enquiry report was called for from the Secretary, Personal and Administrative Reforms and Rajbhasa Deptt. Government of Jharkhand. Shri Vinary Prakash Verma, Joint Secretary of the Personal Deptt. under his letter no. 3348 dated 20/5/2009 informed this office that the matter was enquired into by the Deputy Commissioner, Sahebganj who under his letter No. 249 dated 24<sup>th</sup> February,2009 informed that the allegation against Shri Sashidhar Mandal, ADM leveled by the complainant could not be substantiated. Hence the matter be dropped.

The Deputy Commissioner, Sahebganj in his letter submitting report of preliminary enquiry is clear that the complaint allegation against Smt. Madhuri Kumari is baseless.

Shri Mandal, ADM, Sahebganj has submitted his detailed enquiry report on all the points under his letter no. 722 dated 24/09/2008 and was forwarded to the Secretary, Human Resources Deptt. Jharkhand, Ranchi as the matter relates to Education Deptt.

The Deputy Commissioner has reported that Shri Sashidhar Mandal, ADM, Sahebganj was in additional charge of several departments relating to Revenue, Rehabilitation, Welfare and courts matters. Even then, within two months he submitted the enquiry report which was sent to the Secretary, Human Resources Deptt.,Government of Jharkhand. Thus, Shri Sashidhar Mandal neither had delayed nor has taken any money for submitting enquiry report from Smt. Madhuri Kumari the then Superintendent of Education.

Thus, the allegation made by Shri Shiv Prasad Thakur, Deputy Chairman, Samta Party, Jharkhand, Ranchi has no leg to stand.

In view of the preliminary report submitted by Deputy Commissioner, Sahebganj which was entrusted by the Government of Jharkhand, Personal Deptt. under the signature of Joint Secretary, there is no other option but to drop the further

proceeding as there is no sufficient ground for further enquiry which is very clear from the enquiry report submitted by the Deputy Commissioner, Sahebganj mentioned above.

**02/Lok(Agriculture)01/2006**

Complainant Shri Anirudh Jha of village-Acharaje, Dist- Banka(Bihar) has filed this complaint case for non payment of benefits under ACP scheme. The complainant Jan Sewak of Raneswar Block, Jharkhand retired in the month of April 2000. A committee to give promotion under ACP was held but the matter of the petitioner was not considered by the Secretary, Finance Deptt., Government of Jharkhand, Ranchi. He has prayed that Deputy Development Commissioner and DC, Dumka be directed to give benefit under ACP to me as I am getting my PPO issued by AG, Bihar, Patna.

A preliminary enquiry report was called for from the DC, Dumka under this office letter no. 1693 dated 12/12/2006. The Deputy Development Commissioner, Dumka under his letter no. 70 dated 12/02/2007 informed this office that retired Jan Sewak Shri Jha has been given benefit under ACP scheme vide office order no. 06/07 (memo no. 19/bi dated 10/01/2007). The matter could be solved on the steps taken by this office. Hence the file was closed on full satisfaction.

**02/Lok(Irrigation)06/2006**

Smt. Asha Sharma, widow of late Nandlal Sharma, retired Junior Engineer by filing petition in this office has claimed that her husband died on 30/04/2005. Her husband was not allowed benefit under ACP scheme surpassing him by giving the same benefits to his juniors. The copy of complaint petition was sent to the Principal Secretary, Water Resources Deptt., Government of Jharkhand to take steps and inform this office. Again reminder was issued under this office letter no. 2029 dated 22/04/2009 to submit reports. Consequently Engineer in Chief, Government of Jharkhand, Water Resources Deptt. under DO letter no. 3509 dated 28/12/2007

allowed benefits on 14/12/2007. He was given second ACP benefit w.e.f. 09/08/1999 (photocopy of the said order was enclosed)

On the steps taken by this office, the problem of the petitioner was solved by allowing benefit under second ACP scheme. Hence, the matter was closed on full satisfaction.

**02/Lok(Water Resources)03/2008**

Retired stenographer, Shri Akshay Lal Sah. Water Ways Circle, Dumka has filed petition supported by affidavit in this office on 21<sup>st</sup> April 2008 praying therein that he retired on 31/05/2004 while serving in the office of Superintending Engineer, Water Ways Circle, Dumka. He was deprived of his departmental promotional benefit by the Chief Engineer, Water Resources, Deoghar.

Secretary, Water Resources Deptt., Government of Jharkhand was asked to submit a preliminary report and his opinion on the petition of the complainant under this office letter no. 935 dated 25/06/2008.

Chief Engineer, Water Resources Deptt., Deoghar under his letter no. 2648 dated 18/12/2008 informed this office that Shri Sah was given promotion in the junior selection grade w.e.f. 01/03/1993 under office order no. 93(memo no. 1564) dated 08/07/2008.

As the matter was settled on the step taken by this office, hence the file was closed on full satisfaction.

**02/Lok(Water Resources)42/2005**

Smt. Kausalia Devi, wife of Shri Ajit Narayan Mishra, retired junior engineer has filed complaint for non payment of retiral benefits to her husband.

A report was called for from the Executive Engineer, Minor Irrigation Division, Dumka under this office letter no. 737 dated 25/07/2005. He could not submit his report, then Principal Secretary, Water Resources Deptt., Government of

Jharkhand was asked to submit preliminary enquiry report under this office no. 1345 dated 18/11/2005

Executive Engineer, Minor Irrigation Division, Dumka under his letter no. 927 dated 01/10/2009 has informed this office that Shri Mandal retired Junior Engineer was paid all his arrear dues from April 1992 to April 1993 and from December 1998 to July 2000 total amounting to Rs. 3, 03776/- only as per order of the Hon'ble High Court passed in case no. 3998/08. As the matter was solved, the file was closed on full satisfaction.

\*\*\*\*\*